organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Jian Shang,^{a,b} Qing-Min Wang,^a* Hai-Bin Song,^a Run-Qiu Huang,^a Li Chen^a and Chun-Hui Mao^a

^aState Key Laboratory and Institute of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China, and ^bChemistry and Biology College, Yantai University, Yantai 264005, Shandong Province, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: shangjian@mail.nankai.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.137 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The crystal structure of the title compound, $C_{38}H_{42}N_4O_4S$, an insect-growth regulator, has been determined. The two *tert*-butyl groups are in different environments and this is reflected in the ¹H NMR spectrum.

2-Benzoyl-1-tert-butyl-2-(1,2-dibenzoyl-2-tert-butyl-

hydrazinosulfanyl)-1-(3,5-dimethylbenzoyl)hydrazine

Received 20 January 2005 Accepted 2 March 2005 Online 11 March 2005

Comment

The diacylhydrazines are a promising class of chemically and mechanistically novel insect control agents that were first discovered and characterized by the Rohm and Haas Company in the mid-1980s (Wing, 1995, 1988; Wing et al., 1988). The first member of this class was RH-5849, which had interesting foliar- and root-systemic insecticidal activity against a range of larval lepidopteran, coleopteran, and dipteran pests, but was eventually abandoned in favor of other more commercially attractive analogs (Dhadialla & Jansson, 1999). At present, another three new structural analogs: methoxyfenozide (RH-2485), halofenozide (RH-0345) and chromafenozide (ANS-118) have already been brought to the market (Carlson et al., 2001; Yanagi et al., 2000). It has been reported that biscarbamoyl sulfide derivatives of methylcarbamate insecticides retain the insecticidal activity of the parent methyl carbamate but are substantially less toxic to the white mouse (Fahmy et al., 1974, 1978). It also has been reported that bis(1-tert-butyl-1,2-dibenzoylhydrazino) sulfide retains the insecticidal activity (Drabek, 1990).



In a search for new insect-growth regulators with improved biological properties and a different activity spectrum, we began extensive synthesis-screening and studies of structure-activity relationships on bis(1-*tert*-butyl-1,2-diacylhydrazino) sulfide. The structure of the title compound, 2-benzoyl-1-*tert*-butyl-2-(2-*tert*-butyl-1,2-dibenzoylhydrazinosulfanyl)-1-(3,5-dimethylbenzoyl)hydrazine, (I), was therefore determined (Fig. 1).

In (I), the four carbonyl groups are not coplanar with their adjacent benzene rings. The C5-C6-C7-O1, O2-C12-

0936 Jian Shang et al. • C₃₈H₄₂N₄O₄S

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved



Figure 1

View of the title compound, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms have been omitted.

C13-C14, O3-C19-C20-C21 and O4-C30-C31-C32 torsion angles are 97.6 (3), -113.5 (3), 35.6 (3) and -75.5 (3)°, respectively. The two tert-butyl groups are in different environments as the two nearest aromatic rings are not the same, one (nearest to C26) having two methyl substituents; this is reflected in its ¹H NMR spectrum. While the four amide functions adopt the expected planar structure, their conformations are not identical. Of the two S-bound amide groups, one has a *cis* conformation $[N4-N3-C19-O3 = -23.3 (3)^{\circ}]$ and the other has a *trans* conformation [N1-N2-C12-O2 = $-160.5 (2)^{\circ}$]. Similarly, the two amide groups bearing the Ntert-butyl groups have one with a cis conformation [N2-N1- $C7-O1 = -2.6 (3)^{\circ}$ and the other with a *trans* conformation $[N3-N4-C30-O4 = -170.3 (2)^{\circ}]$, considerably different from the parent compound, 1,2-dibenzoyl-1-tert-butylhydrazine (Chan et al., 1990).

Experimental

To a stirred admixture of 1-tert-butyl-1-(3,5-dimethylbenzoyl)-2benzolyhydrazine (0.006 mol) in anhydrous xylene (40 ml) was added sodium hydride (0.007 mol) over a period of 5 min. The mixture was stirred at the boiling temperature for 2 h and then cooled to 263 K. Then a chlorosulfenyl(1-tert-butyl-1,2-dibenzoylhydrazine) solution was added dropwise. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The solid was then filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum, The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using petroleum ether (60-90), dichloromethane and ethyl acetate (20:1:1 v/v) as the eluent. It was recrystallized from a solution of isopropyl alcohol by slow evaporation at room temperature.

Crystal data

$D_x = 1.225 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 3695
reflections
$\theta = 2.6-21.2^{\circ}$
$\mu = 0.14 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 293 (2) K
Block, colorless
$0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$

Bruker SMART1000 CCD area-	7258 independent reflections 3786 reflections with $L > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.049$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.4^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -19 \rightarrow 13$
$T_{\min} = 0.831, \ T_{\max} = 0.980$	$k = -15 \rightarrow 17$
20399 measured reflections	$l = -20 \rightarrow 21$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.06P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.137$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

S = 1.04	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
7258 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.18 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
432 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

\$1-N3	1.6846 (19)	N1-N2	1.408 (2)
S1-N2	1.7029 (17)	N3-N4	1.411 (2)
N3-S1-N2	108.57 (9)	N4-N3-C19	115.68 (17)
C7-N1-N2	113.31 (17)	N4-N3-S1	118.39 (13)
C7-N1-C8	127.74 (18)	C19-N3-S1	125.36 (15)
N2-N1-C8	116.78 (16)	C30-N4-N3	115.31 (18)
C12-N2-N1	117.01 (16)	C30-N4-C26	122.21 (19)
C12-N2-S1	120.88 (14)	N3-N4-C26	122.48 (17)
N1-N2-S1	119.30 (13)		
N2-N1-C7-O1	-2.6(3)	N4-N3-C19-O3	23.0 (3)
C5-C6-C7-O1	97.6 (3)	O3-C19-C20-C21	35.6 (3)
N1-N2-C12-O2	-160.5(2)	N3-N4-C30-O4	170.3 (2)
O2-C12-C13-C14	-113.5 (3)	O4-C30-C31-C32	-75.5 (3)

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C-H = 0.93or 0.96 Å, and included in the final cycles of refinement using a riding model, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the National Key Project for Basic Research (No. 2003CB114400), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20202005) and the Foundation for the Authors of National Excellent Doctoral Dissertations of the People's Republic of China (No. 200255).

References

- Bruker (1998). SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (1999). SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Carlson, G. R., Dhadialla, T. S. & Hunter, R. (2001). Pest Mang. Sci. 57, 115-119
- Chan, T. H., Ali, A. & Britten, J. F. (1990). Can. J. Chem. 68, 1178-1181.
- Dhadialla, T. S. & Jansson, R. K. (1999). Pestic. Sci. 55, 357-359.
- Drabek, J. (1990). Eur. Patent No. 395 581.
- Fahmy, M. A. H., Chiu, Y. C. & Fukuto, T. R. (1974). J. Agric. Food Chem. 22, 59-62.
- Fahmy, M. A. H., Mallipudi, N. M. & Fukuto, T. R. (1978). J. Agric. Food Chem. 26, 550-557.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

- Wing, K. D. (1988). *Science*, **241**, 467–469.
- Wing, K. D. (1995). US Patent No. 5 424 333.

- Wing, K. D., Slawecki, R. A. & Carlson, G. R. (1988). Science, 241, 470–472.
- Yanagi, M., Watanabe, T. & Masui, A. (2000). Proceedings of the Brighton Crop Protection Conference, BCPC, Farnham, Surrey, England, p. 27.